

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,411	12/11/2003	Bruce Albrecht	ITW7510.061	1410
33647 7	590 07/05/2006		EXAMINER	
ZIOLKOWSKI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, SC (ITW)			TRAN, LEN	
14135 NORTH CEDARBURG ROAD MEQUON, WI 53097		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MEQUOIN, W			1725	
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/707,411	10/707,411 ALBRECHT ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Len Tran	1725				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNER 1.136(a). In no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Mostatute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	27 April 2006					
<u> </u>	•	This action is non-final.					
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
ŕ	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖾	4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Example 1997	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		• •				
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	·		• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ie Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	<sup>2</sup> 10-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority document		· ·	1.04			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu	•	n received in this Nationa	ıı Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a		nt received				
			,				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI		o(s)/Mail Date  Informal Patent Application (PT	TO-152)			
•	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other: _	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			

Art Unit: 1725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-21 in the reply filed on 4/27/06 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the species are not distinct and independent and also examiner fail to show different classification and different field of search. This is not found persuasive because claims 1-21 pertains to a welding equipment search in class 219 and process and claims 22-23 pertains to a method of refilling of gas search in class 141.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

### Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-21 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,977,358. Although the

conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because US '358 disclose a welding apparatus comprising an enclosure, a power source, and a gas cylinder disposed internally and another gas cylinder disposes externally.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 4. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 3, 8, 17, 18, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE 83 08 99.3.

As to claim 1, DE '99.3 discloses an enclosure, a power source (8 is a control panel having power source) within enclosure, a shielding gas regulator (5) connected with first gas source (7) within an enclosure.

As to claim 2, the first gas is a gas cylinder connecting to a regulator.

As to claim 3, the gas is disposable.

As to claim 8, a valve (6) attached to the shielding gas regulator (5) and adjustable within the housing.

As to claim 17, the method comprising the steps of providing a power source, providing a regulator, and enclosing a power source and the regulator in an enclosure.

Art Unit: 1725

As to claim 18, passing the welding gas through an opening in the enclosure and into engagement with the regulator.

As to claim 21, extending one of the valve and a gauge to the regulator through the enclosure to allow visual inspection.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 4-7, 9-16, 19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Len Tran
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1725

June 24, 2006